

Message

From: Tasnif-abbasi, Maryam@DTSC [Maryam.Tasnif-abbasi@dtsc.ca.gov]
Sent: 3/3/2016 5:41:36 PM
To: ZIFF, SARA [ZIFF.SARA@EPA.GOV]; Armann, Steve [Armann.Steve@epa.gov]; Wilson, Patrick [Wilson.Patrick@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Recommendations for the Community Update from CCAEJ

Community Update

2nd Paragraph – November Sampling Results

In November, we informed you that environmental sampling activities had been conducted at the Ag Park on November 2nd and 3rd, 2015. A total of 176 samples were collected, and results indicated that PCB levels above the .22 remained on the site. 64 out of 176 samples taken indicated PCBs level above the .22 (36%) and 36 out of the 176 samples (20%) indicated elevated levels from 1.0 -131. The proposal for clean-up which includes the removal of all PCBS found above the original .22 cleanup level will be conducted.

3rd Paragraph - Riverside Ag Park Off-Site Evaluation Community Work Group

The second sentence.....

The goal of the work group will be to provide feedback and recommendations on plans for evaluation of the neighborhood surrounding Riverside Ag Park to include discussions on Health assessments; testing of the homes and surrounding areas (park, schools) and represent the diverse interests of the local community. DTSC along with the Ag Park Family will consider membership requests from neighbors who are willing to participate as lead voluntary liaisons of the Off-Site evaluation Community Work Group. The off-site evaluation community work group meetings will be open to the public. If you are interested in participating, please contact Stacy Lear, DTSC Public Participation Specialist at (714) 484-5354 or via e-mail at Stacey.Lear@dtsc.ca.gov and you can also contact Jean Kayano, Center for Community Action and Environmental Justice at (951) 360-8451 or via email at jean.k@ccaej.org.

2nd page

1st paragraph – change the November 2016 to November, 2015.

Questions – why would you use the same response plan from 2006 – since that plan obviously did not clean up the site. Should there be a new Response Plan Agreement for 2016.

Dust Control – Number 7

Please explain in more detail what that means – prior dust control monitoring was not taken seriously...there were dust levels beyond the set standard during the 1st clean up phase from 2006 -2014. And we would like to have AQMD whether or not they have a policy for this to ensure that the air monitoring devices are appropriately placed and more than 2 monitors. We noticed in the sampling map 4 dust monitoring sites. AQMD need to be assisting in monitoring the air during the next new clean up plan. Dust sampling for PCBs and Dioxins must be done. Other sites around the nation are detecting PCB vapor on and off-site. PCBs are semi-volatiles and increase volatilization in warm temperatures (day time) . Air sampling for PCBs must be included during the duration of the clean-up activity.

Site Health and Safety Plan shall be improved to protect on-site personnel from exposure to PCB inhalation risks, skin contact, and decon procedures of all personnel and equipment accessing the site.

Sampling

Additional investigative sampling will occur prior to approval of the clean up proposal. Confirmation samples will be collected by DTSC Staff and EPA staff during and after removal is completed to ensure that the clean up goal is met.

Thanks!

Maryam

From: Mascarenas, Ana@DTSC

Sent: Wednesday, March 02, 2016 4:25 PM

To: Tasnif-abbasi, Maryam@DTSC <Maryam.Tasnif-abbasi@dtsc.ca.gov>; Lofstrom, Dot@DTSC <Dot.Lofstrom@dtsc.ca.gov>; Garcia, Peter@DTSC <Peter.Garcia@dtsc.ca.gov>; Neal, Greg@DTSC <Greg.Neal@dtsc.ca.gov>; Tooker, Joshua@DTSC <Joshua.Tooker@dtsc.ca.gov>; Reese, Timothy@DTSC <Timothy.Reese@dtsc.ca.gov>; Jeng, Cy@DTSC <Cy.Jeng@dtsc.ca.gov>; Lear, Stacey@DTSC <Stacey.Lear@dtsc.ca.gov>

Subject: FW: Recommendations for the Community Update

Comments from Jean at CCAEJ

From: Jean Kayano [<mailto:Jean.k@CCA EJ.org>]

Sent: Wednesday, March 02, 2016 3:17 PM

To: Mascarenas, Ana@DTSC

Subject: Recommendations for the Community Update

Hi Ana,

Here is the community's recommendations. Some of the recommendations are reasons why the need for certain statements to be made. I hope it is understandable.

Please let us see the final before it goes out..

Thanks,
Jean